Approved For Release 2002/08/15: CIA-RDP83B00823R000400040024-4

DDA 76-4004 11 August 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Director of Communications Director of Data Processing

Director of Finance Director of Logistics

Director of Medical Services

Director of Personnel Director of Security Director of Training

STAT FROM

Chief, MAS/DDA

SUBJECT

DDA Compliance with Presidential

Management Initiatives

REFERENCE

Multiple adse memo dtd 9 Aug 76

fr Comptroller; subj: Follow-up

Action to OMB Requests

1. By referent memorandum the Comptroller has requested the DD/A to identify (a) five subjects for efficiency evaluations, and (b) Directorate level (i.e., what we refer to as DCI level) objectives.

2. Efficiency Evaluations

- a. Please forward to the Management and Assessment Staff, as soon as possible but no later than c.o.b. 16 August, your recommendations to the DD/A for efficiency evaluation subjects. In view of the short deadline, telephonic response followed by a confirming memorandum is acceptable.
- b. In discussions for clarification of this requirement, I was assured that the Comptroller is not thinking of establishing a formalistic, Agency evaluation program that would require a sizeable commitment of manpower. O/Comptroller assumes, and rightly so, that efficiency evaluations have been and are being undertaken throughout the Agency in conjunction with other management needs. They hope to be able to comply with OMB requirements by having some of these studies serve both purposes. It is suggested, therefore, that your recommendations to the DD/A focus on subjects where studies have already been scheduled or where studies would also serve your needs.

-2-

3. DCI Objectives

The referent memorandum requests DD/A to submit "Directorate-level" (what we refer to as DCI) objectives. Unless you want to amend or modify the proposed objectives that you have already submitted for consideration by DD/A, no further action on your part is required.

	ľ

STAT

Attachment Reference

cc: Each DDA Planning Office w/Att.

JBUECT: (Optional) Efficiency Eva	aluatio	ne			
SIAI	Huacio	15			
OM: Policy and Plans Crown			EXTENSION	NO. STAT	
Policy and Plans Group 4E 58, Hqs.	*			DATE	
): (Officer designation, room number and		industrial and the special and the second s		12 August 1976	
iong j	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	
C/A&TD		8/12	AR	Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions	
				for efficiency evaluation subjects.	
		Note that with a second second second		The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of the PV To	
AUTO/C/ARRS		8/12/	Of !	based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the defini	
			1	determining if there are ways of achieving cost savings or a more	
A E,TO /C/TRNGB		8/12	DAVA	efficient approach or creating a less burdensome way to achieve program objectives.	
		1	The state of the s	Please review the list and determining there are suggestions for	
A = TD /c/B= F		1/12	Top	efficiency evaluations you want to add or if there are any among the listed you believe should be delete You may disregard para. 3 of	
				mesno from C/MAS/DDA.	
				Please provide your response to AC/PPG by COB 13 August 1976.	
C/AGTD		\$/12	1 APP		
*		1			
		·		*	
. *					

	ROUTIN	G AND	RECOR	RD SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional) Efficiency Ev	valuation	10		
STAT	a_uu	5		1
FROM:		,	EXTENSION	NO. STAT
Policy and Plans Group 4E 58, Hqs.	ڔ	1		DATE
			↓	12 August 1976
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	-	DATE	OFFICER'S	
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INUIGH	to wham. Draw a line acrass calumn after each comment.)
1. DD/PSI V				Please review the attached
CATTO				memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions
2. C1556 ~		1		for efficiency evaluation subjects.
CICKDY				The Policy & Plans Group has
3. C/126		1		prepared a listing of possible
				suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78
4.				Program Submission and MBO
				Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definition
5.			1	of efficiency evaluation by
				determining if there are ways of
6.				achieving cost savings or a more efficient approach or creating a
	,		1	less burdensome way to achieve
7.			i	program objectives.
	'		(Please review the list and determine
8.	,		1	if there are suggestions for efficiency evaluations you want
	,		, 1	to add or if there are any among the
9.				You may disregard para. 3 of
			, ,	memo from C/MAS/DDA.
10.				Please provide your response to
	1	1	J	AC/PPG by COB 13 August 1976.
11.				
		1	1	1
12.				
		1	ļ	1
13.	+			Í
		1	1	1
14.	+	+		1

15.